CHIEF SECRETARIAT

(HOME DEPARTMENT).

Dated 28th July 1949.

No. H. 1416—Pol. 5-49-3. In exercise of the powers conferred on them by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Mysore Public Security Act, 1940 (Act XII of 1940), the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to cancel the Notifications noted below notifying the premises mentioned against each of them as places which in the opinion of the Government are used for the purposes of the Rastriya Swayamsevak Sangha (R.S.S.).

(1) No. P. 6388—Pol. 205-47-2, dated 5th February 1948..... ...the R. S. S. Head Quarters (Karyalaya) at Srirampuram Extension, Bangalore City.

(2) No. P. 6937—Pol. 205-47-3, dated 19th February 1948.....premises bearing No. 1896, "Biligiri Nivas".....owned by Sri Rangaswamy Rao in Krishnarajapuram, Mysore City.

1957 K. SRINIVASAN, *Chief Secy.*

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated 13th September 1949.

No. R. 1876—R. M. 5-49-6. Under Rule 2 of the Rules issued in Government Order No. R. 14-25—L. R. 149-24-74, dated 3rd October 1925 as amended by Government Order No. R. 4229-40 L. R. 489-26-4, dated 1st December 1927, the tracts forming the atchkats of the thirty-seven tanks (as per the following list) in Chiknaikanahalli Taluk are declared entitled to remission of half-wet assessment during the year 1948-49.

SYED ABDUL ALEEM, *Rev. Secy.*

List of tanks in Chiknaikanahalli Taluk to be notified as being entitled to remission of half wet assessment during the year 1948-49.

Sl. No.	Name of Tank	Name of Village
	Kandikere Hobli.	
1	Kandikere Nonavinakere ...	Kandikere
2	Do Amsnikere ...	do
3	Sadarahalli Aralikatte ...	Sadarahalli
4	Do Kariyammanakatte ...	do
5	Salkatte Nagarakere ...	Salkatte
6	Upalikanahalli tank ...	Upalikanahalli
	Settikere Hobli.	
7	Pankajanahalli	Pankajanahalli
	Handanakere Hobli.	
8	Soralamavu tank	Soralamavu
9	Lingadahallikere	Lingadahalli
10	Tarikattekere	Tarikatte
11	Bammanakere Doddakere ...	Bammakere
12	Urumundinakere	Doddayanegere Chikayanegere
13	Bevinahalli Tank.... ...	Bevinahalli
14	Madapura	Madapura
15	Baragur	Baragur
16	Hosakere	Hosakere
17	Mallenahalli	Mallenahalli
18	Baragihalli	Baragihalli
19	Handanakere	Handanakere
20	Kenkere	Kenkere
21	Maligere	Maligere
22	Bangaragere	Bangaragere
23	Belaguli	Belaguli
24	Belagehalli	Belagehalli
25	Upparahalli	Upparahalli
	Huliyur Hobli.	
26	Semanahalli tank... ...	Gandhal
27	Borankanive	Hoisalkatte Dabbegunte Marenadu Bellara Borankanive Muthugadahalli Amberapura
28	Pochakatte tank	Pochakatte
29	Doddabidare	Doddabidare
30	Chikkbidare	Chikabidare
31	Avalagere	Avalagere
32	Handiganadu	Handiganadu
33	Dasundi	Dasandi
	Kasaba Hobli.	
34	Navile tank	Navile
35	Urumundinakere... ...	Muddenahalli
36	Chiknaikanahallikere ...	Chiknaikanahalli
37	Urumundinakere... ...	Anekatte

1855

GENERAL SECRETARIAT

for general information the accompanying report of the Chief Conciliator in Mysore in respect of the failure of conciliation proceedings in the dispute between the Mysore District Automobile Workers' Association and the Managing Director of C. P. C. Company, Ltd., Mangalore, in regard to a notice of change given by the said Association regarding the reinstatement of conductor D. S. Gundappa of the C. P. C. Company.

MIR SAFDAR HUSSAIN,
Gl. Secy.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CONCILIATOR IN MYSORE.

No. 29—48-49, (Camp) Mysore, dated 7th September 1949.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject —Notice of change dated 8th February 1949, given by the Mysore District Automobile Workers' Association to the Managing Director of C. P. C. Company, Ltd., Mangalore, Reinstatement of a Conductor D. S. Gundappa.

The conciliation in the above case was conducted by me at Mysore to-day. Sri C. T. Raman, Regional Manager, appeared on behalf of the Management, while the Labour Association represented by the President, Vice-President and Secretary.

At the very outset Sri C. T. Raman pointed that the dismissed Conductor Gundappa was an employee of the Modern Motor Service Company which was quite distinct from the C. P. C. Company, Mangalore.

Gundappa was dismissed by the Company by a written notice dated 5th November 1948. The notice sets out the charges against him. It points out that he had been suspended twice on previous occasions for misconduct and that in spite of warnings he had again misappropriated Rs. 3 out of the Bus collections made on 13th October 1948. On 12th December 1948 the Labour Association on behalf of the dismissed worker sent a notice of change demanding immediate reinstatement with payment of compensation restrospectively.

The Assistant Commissioner of Labour intervened in the dispute and appealed to the Company to take back Gundappa who had expressed regret for his past conduct. The Management were willing to consider his case favourably. In the meanwhile, the Labour Association sent a second notice of change on 8th February 1949 reiterating the previous demand. This gave offence to the Company which refused to consider the question of reinstatement so long as it formed the subject matter of a dispute under the Labour Act.

In the proceedings before me Sri Raman produced documents to prove misconduct by the Conductor, Gundappa. There was evidence to show that Gundappa left the Company's Service once but failing to secure employment elsewhere begged to be taken back to service. The Company complied with his request. Sri Raman also produced evidence to prove misappropriation which led to the dismissal of Gundappa. After a good deal of discussion, the Labour Association appealed to the Management to reinstate Gundappa on the footing of "forget and forgive". Sri Raman however felt his inability to respond to this appeal. He regretted that the Labour Association had thought fit to intervene on behalf of an employee whose previous misdeeds had been proved beyond question. The result was a failure of conciliation proceedings, which I report under Section 12 (4) of the Act.

K. SUBBA RAO,
Cf. Conciliator.

1995

Dated 20th September 1949.

No. L. S. 772—L. W. 71-49-4. Under Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act, (Act XIII of 1942), the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to publish for general information the undermentioned report of the Chief Conciliator in Mysore, in respect of the failure of conciliation proceedings in the dispute between the Mysore District Automobile Workers' Association and the Proprietor of

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CONCILIATOR IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

(Camp) Mysore dated 7th September 1949.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject :—Notice of change dated 23rd July 1949 given by the Mysore District Automobile Workers' Association, regarding the re-instatement of Sri K. Subbajettappa, Driver, Maruthi Motor Service.

No. C.C. 10—49-50. The notice demands the immediate re-instatement of the Driver Sri K. Subbajettappa and payment of compensation to him with retrospective effect. The Labour Commissioner having failed to bring about a settlement, the dispute came up before me for conciliation to-day at Mysore. Sri N. L. Keshava Iyengar appeared on behalf of the Proprietor who also was present in person. The Labour Association was represented by its President, Vice-President and Secretary.

On 4th July 1949 the Proprietor issued a notice through his Lawyer intimating that his services had been terminated and asking him to appear and settle his accounts and receive one month's salary in lieu of notice. The reason given for the dismissal is insubordination and irregularity in attendance. The Driver sent a reply through his Lawyer on 12th July 1949 in which he denied the charges and demanded his immediate re-instatement.

Subsequently, his case was taken up by the Labour Association of which he is a member. The Association issued a notice of change to the Proprietor for the immediate re-instatement of Subbajettappa with compensation.

Before me the Association contended that the dismissal of the Driver was wholly arbitrary and that no enquiry had been held by the Management regarding his alleged misconduct. On behalf of the Proprietor it was urged that this man had not mended his ways in spite of several warnings on previous occasions and that on 4th July 1949 he even tried to intimidate the Proprietor.

The Proprietor has not framed any Standing Order to regulate the service of his employees. He says that the strength of his employees does not exceed a dozen. He admits that no regular enquiry was held on this occasion. He also admits that he has no documents to show that this driver had been warned on previous occasions. Finally, he made it clear that he found it impossible to re-instate this driver. The result was a failure of the conciliation proceedings and I make this report under Section 12 (4) of the Act.

K. SUBBA RAO, *Cf. Conciliator.*

Notification dated 20th September 1949.

No. L. S. 775—L.W. 71-49-6. Under Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act (Act XIII of 1942), the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to publish for general information the accompanying report of the Chief Conciliator in Mysore, in respect of the failure of conciliation proceedings in the dispute between the Mysore District Automobile Workers' Association and the Proprietors of Lorries and Buses in respect of a notice of change putting forth certain demands.

1929 MIR SAFDAR HUSSAIN, *Gl. Secy.*

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CONCILIATOR IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

(Camp) Mysore, dated 7th September 1949.

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

No. C. C. 27—48-49. In this case the Mysore District Automobile Workers' Association, Mysore, gave a notice of change on 14th February 1949 to all the Proprietors of Lorries and Buses in the District containing the following demands :—

(1) That the Proprietors should immediately frame Standing Orders.

(3) That as there was difficulty for Housing accommodation in Mysore, the Proprietors should construct houses for their employees.

(4) That every employee should be paid Dearness Allowance.

I was informed by the Labour Association that no reply had been received from the Proprietors in respect of the above demands. The Commissioner of Labour brought to my notice on more than one occasion that the Labour was getting restive and urged that conciliation proceedings should be expedited. Accordingly I issued notices to the Presidents of the Motor Owners' Association and of the Labour Association to appear before me to-day at Mysore and take part in the conciliation proceedings.

To-day the representatives of the Labour Association are present, but no one has turned up on behalf of the Motor Owners' Association. It is understood that the notice of change given by the Labour Association is still pending consideration before the Owners' Association. Besides, the Assistant Commissioner of Labour who is present, states that the Proprietors relying on Section 9 (1) decline to frame Standing Orders since none of them employs as many as 100 persons. It seems to me that the Proprietors are not prepared to seriously consider these demands. The conciliation proceedings cannot be prolonged indefinitely. Accordingly, I report failure of conciliation proceedings, under Section 12(4) of the Mysore Labour Act.

1992 K. SUBBA RAO,
Cf. Conciliator.

Dated 20th September 1949.

No. L. S. 778—L. W. 71-49-8. Under Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act, (Act XIII of 1942), the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to publish for general information the accompanying report of the Chief Conciliator in Mysore in respect of the failure of the Conciliation Proceedings in the dispute between The Amco Factory Labour Association and the General Manager, Amco Factory, Ltd., Bangalore, in regard to a notice of change given by the Association putting forth certain demands.

MIR SAFDAR HUSSAIN, *Gl. Secy.*

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CONCILIATOR IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Dated 10th September 1949.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject :—Notice of change dated 2nd April 1949 given by the Amco Factory Labour Association to the General Manager, Amco Factory, Ltd., Bangalore.

(*Report under Section* 12 (4) *of the Mysore Labour Act*).

No. C. C. 35—48-49. In this case the Amco Factory Labour Association, Bangalore City, gave a notice of change to the Management on 2nd April 1949 containing the following eight demands :—

1. The minimum wage per day per worker in the Amco Factory should be Rs. 1-4-0.
2. As the cost of living is very high, dearness allowance of Rs. 30 should be paid to every worker in the Amco Factory.
3. Every worker who has put in a service of six months should be treated as a permanent worker.
4. When a person sustains injury or any accident he should be entitled for half the wages per day, while he undergoes the treatment in addition to such compensation as he may be entitled to under the Workmen's Compensation Act. If he is certified by the doctor as found fit he should be taken back to his original place, otherwise if he cannot do the work as efficiently as before he should be given work which

7. Three months wages as "High Price Bonus" in addition to "Dearness Allowance" should be paid and it should be continued year after year till the prices get down to pre-war level.
8. The management should give facilities to the Association for the collection of subscription in the Mill premises.

What reply the management sent to these demands is not known. The Assistant Commissioner of Labour, however, intervened in the dispute, but failed to bring about any settlement. The matter was therefore referred to me, for initiating conciliation proceedings.

Notices were issued to the Association and the Manager of the Amco Factory to appear before me to-day in connection with the conciliation proceedings. Sri Srinivasa Rao, Manager of the Factory, appeared on behalf of the management, while the Association was represented by its Vice-President, Secretary and Treasurer.

Demand No. 8 was withdrawn by the Association during the proceedings. Regarding the other demands, the position seems to be as under :—

The minimum wage now prevailing in the Factory is Re. 0- 0-0 except in one or two cases where the wage is 8 annas only Rupees 18 is paid as Dearness Allowance to all workers. All the employees in the factory are permanent workers except about 15 hands who are temporarily employed to cope with increased orders received in certain seasons. The Manager stated that the temporary workers would be discharged as and when the work in the factory came down to the level of the scheduled production. He is not prepared to make seasonal labour permanent. As regards injured workers, the management is not prepared to pay anything outside the scope of the Workmen's Compensation Act. But it has no objection to take back to duty any worker who has fully recovered from his injuries and is fit to resume his old work.

Demand No. 5 was not clear. The representative of the association explained that the object of this demand was to make temporary workers eligible for annual increments. The Manager of the factory however, was not prepared to grant this concession. No employee of the factory is at present provided with quarters or granted house allowance. As regards high price bonus equal to three months' wages, the Manager explained that the Factory was not in a position to enter into any more financial commitments on account of its employees. It is stated that the company just balanced its accounts in 1947-48 and could not declare any dividend. The accounts for last year are still under audit. The Manager expects the year to close with a small profit, which will probably be carried to the reserve. No dividend is expected to be declared and hence, there is no possibility of granting any bonus to the workers.

Finally, the Manager stated that as things stood, the Management was not in a position to grant any more concessions to the workers involving fresh financial commitments. In the face of this declaration, the Association felt obliged to press its demands. The result was a break down in the conciliation proceedings. Accordingly, I make this report under Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act.

Yours faithfully,
K. SUBBA RAO, *Chief Conciliator.*

LAW SECRETARIAT.

Notification dated 20th September 1949

No. S. R. 1116—J S. 17.48-3. The following notification of the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce, New Delhi, relating to the licensing of Insurance agents under the State Insurance Act, is republished for the information of the public.

B. S. PUTTASWAMY, *Law Secy.*

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE.

New Delhi, dated 7th May 1949.

Notification.

Insurance.

No. 605-I (2)—46. In pursuance of Sub-Section (1A) of Section 40 of the Insurance Act, 1938 (IV of 1938), the Central Government is pleased to notify the Indian State of Mysore for the purposes of the said sub-section.

S. RANGANATHAN.
2016 *Joint Secy. to the Govt. of India.*

Dated 15th September 1949.

No. H. 4095—Ptl. 8-49-2. Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to order that the Notification No. P. 4764—Ptl. 16-48-2, dated 17th September 1948 prohibiting (a) the further publication, sale or distribution of the issue of the bi-weekly "Swatantrodaya" dated 15th September 1948 and (b) the publication sale or distribution of any subsequent issue of the said bi-weekly, be cancelled.

1868 B. S. PUTTASWAMY, *Law Secy.*

Dated 14th September 1949.

No R. L. 432—L. C. 8-49-3 In pursuance of the provisions of sub-rule (2) of Rule 127 of the Legislative Council Rules, 1940, the name of the member elected at a bye-election held to the Legislative Council from the Constituency specified below is hereby notified :—

Name of the Constituency	Name and address of the member.
European.	Mr. Herbert W. Bryant, 9—2, Palace Road, Bangalore.

1849 B. S. PUTTASWAMY, *Law Secy. and Legal Remembrancer.*

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, CONSTITUENT ASSEMBLY OF MYSORE, REVENUE SURVEY OFFICES, BANGALORE.

Notification dated 21st September 1949.

No. C. A 988—49-50. The Sixth Session of the Constituent Assembly will commence from Monday the 26th September 1949 at 12 NOON at the Jaganmohan Palace Pavillion, Mysore.

VENKATARAMANA IYER.
2001 *Secretary.*

Printed by the Director of Printing, Stationery and Publications at the Government Press, Bangalore.